

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/115, 229 07/14	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTO	DRNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/115,22 9 07/14	798 SCHEELEN	À	SLVAY-3741.
_	SPENCER & FRANK SUITE 300 EAST	IM71/0218 7	NOLD, (MINER C
	1100 NEW YORK AVEN WASHINGTON DC 2000!		ART UNIT 1772	PAPER NUMBER
		: # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	DATE MAILED:	02/18/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/115,229 Applicant(s)

Scheelen et al

Examiner

Charles R. Nold

Group Art Unit 1772



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on application filing	<u> </u>			
☐ This action is FINAL .				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	15 C.D. 11; 453 U.G. 213.			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the			
Disposition of Claims				
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
☐ Claim(s)				
Claim(s)				
Claims				
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The drawing(s) filed on is/are object The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	cted to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	No(s)3			

Application/Control Number: 09/115,229

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 2. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. There are no definitive process limitation in claims 8-9.
- 3. Claim Rejections 35 USC § 102
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by
 US 5,049,441Jenkins et al. Jenkins et al discloses HDPE compositions containing HDPE and talc,
 said talc in an amount of about 1 percent, col. 2, line 38. The size of the talc is .5 to 50 microns.

Art Unit:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-7 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jenkins et al in view of WO 85/03194 ('134"). Jenkins et al is relied upon as discussed above. Jenkins et al does not disclose pipes.
- '134 discloses HDPE compositions for forming pipes, see page 7, lines 18 and 24. Talc is present in an amount of from 1.0 to 6.0 phr, page 6, line 17. Pellets are disclosed, page 8, line 7. The secondary reference demonstrates that it is known to form pipes out of the same composition as that of the primary reference. Thus it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to form a pipe out of the composition of Jenkins et al.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles R. Nold whose telephone number is (703) 308-4416.

CRMA Charles R. Nold Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772